



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,882 03/04/2		03/04/2004	Hong-I Tsai	MR2863-154	4801
4586	7590	12/02/2005	•	EXAMINER	
		EIN & LEE	BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN		
ELLICOTT		NTER DRIVE-SUITI ID 21043	. 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3632	·

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			382	TSAI, HONG-I					
			er	Art Unit					
			lyn Baxter	3632					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply were ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIO event, however, may a r will expire SIX (6) MON epplication to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the company of	,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .		•					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	•—		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		•						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election	requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	(s)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

Art Unit: 3632

This is the first Office action for application serial number 10/791,882, Antivibration Platform for Semiconductor Equipment filed on March 4, 2004.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in this application.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "21" has been used to designate both adapting head of the barred body and ball coupling. See figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,822,199 to Nehls in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,901,936 to Bieg and U.S. Patent No. 4,161,088 to Gugliotta. Nehls teaches a plurality of top ball couplings (12) each of which having a truncated top (not numbered). The truncated top has at least one internally threaded hole (24). The top couplings are provided with a plurality of internally threaded holes (also numbered 24). Furthermore, Nehls teaches a plurality of bottom ball couplings (12), each of which has a plurality of internally threaded holes (24). The device includes a plurality of rigid connecting bars (10); wherein each bar includes a barred body (14) having two externally threaded rods (70) provided at two free ends thereof. The rigid connecting bar is adapted to connect at the two free ends to two bottom ball couplings, two said top ball couplings, or one bottom and one top ball coupling by screwing the externally threaded rods into said internally threaded holes on the ball couplings. The device includes a plurality of vertical supports (16) having external threaded rods provided at two free ends of the pipe. The vertical support is adapted to extend between two vertically corresponding top and bottom ball couplings by screwing the externally threaded rods into the internally threaded holes provided on the ball couplings. However, Nehls fails to teach the combination of a truncate top and

a spherical surface of each of the top ball couplings; the bottom ball couplings having a spherical surface and the vertical supports each including a steel pipe.

Bieg teach the coupling having both a truncated shape top and a spherical shape surface. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have made the top coupling both spherical and truncated and to have made the bottom coupling completely spherical in shape, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Gugliotta teaches the use of steel bar or connecting members. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the vertical support from steel, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mylaeus 3,995,962; Martinez 4,313,687; Croucher 4,863,303;

Art Unit: 3632

and Imai 4,872,779 teach ball couplings and vertical, horizontal and/or diagonal supports.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is 571-272-6814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday, 8:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gwendolyn Baxter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632 Page 5

November 26, 2005